



Colleen Manzetti, DNP, RN, CNLCP, CNE, Assistant Professor & Graduate Faculty, Monmouth University

ጼ

Maria Torchia LoGrippo, PhD, RN, MSN, Assistant Professor, Rutgers University



Background

Nurse leaders from academic and practice settings engaging in collaborative partnerships were essential to promote models for seamless academic progression (SAP). New Jersey Action Coalition (NJAC) designed a grant funded project to create SAP models through a competency based curricular design aimed at preparing a more skilled, trained nursing workforce.

NJAC held various meetings to inform members of academic and practice settings to consider joining a team for this initiative. Teams were devised based on geographic location and practicality. Each team consisted of representatives from nursing education across different programs as well as nurse leaders from acute care hospitals, home care agencies and long term facilities (LoGrippo, 2015). Each team collaborated on best practices to be included in their specific curriculum that would help prepare future nurses to meet the demands of a complex healthcare environment.

The purpose of this presentation is to describe the characteristics of collaboration involved in the NJAC work and to better understand best practices for ensuring collaboration and partnerships for future projects.

Collaboration

To understand collaboration, conceptual and theoretical perspectives on collaboration were reviewed in the literature. Various concepts describe collaboration in organizational sectors to include efforts that engage the workforce to optimize resources and to problem solve (Muntean, 2009; O'Leary and Bingham, 2009). Thomson, Perry and Miller (2007) defined the collaborative process in which participants come together to work towards a common goal, either formally or informally.

Thomson and colleagues (2007) described collaboration as multidimensional, variable and composed of five key dimensions. The five key dimensions include:

- •Governance
- Administration
- Organizational autonomy
- Mutuality
- •Norms (Trust)

Incorporating dimensions of collaboration can foster a group's ability to build an effective collaborative relationship and a mutually supportive work environment, and directly have impact on the success of the initiative. Strong collaborative skills will leverage the effectiveness of the relationship, particularly among team members and between organizations (Thompson & Perry, 2006). As evidenced in clinical environments utilizing multidisciplinary teams, a lack of collaboration and teamwork can result in less effective outcomes (Ndoro, 2014).

Methods

A descriptive design involving survey data was used to describe characteristics of collaboration among academic and practice partners. A convenience sample consisting of 30 eligible individuals engaged in the NJAC work.

Individuals were asked to participate by completing a paper and pencil questionnaire derived from Thomson, Perry and Miller (2007) measurement tool for collaboration. Of the 30 eligible individuals, there were 16 participants who completed the survey across the four teams (Table 1.) The questionnaire consisted of four demographic questions along with 57 items using a closed-ended, seven-point Likert scale.

Due to the small sample size, researchers analyzed a 17point collaboration scale (Thomson et al., 2007) to represent the multidimensional nature of collaboration which had been theoretically and statistically validated for each of the five dimensions. An item included in the questionnaire as suggested by Thomson and colleagues (2009) measuring the participant's overall perceived effectiveness of the collaboration was also used for further analysis.

Instrument

Dimension

Metaller

Proceined of Professiona

	Table 1. 17- Point Collaboration Modified Stale
	Operational instinct
•	 Partner organizations take your organization's opinions sectorally when decisions are made about the collaboration?
	2. Your organization brainstorms with partner organizations to develop solution
	to mission-calated problems facing the collaboration?

to ministensiated problems facing the collaboration?

1. You, as a representative of your organization in the collaboration, understand your organization's value and corporabilities as a manufact of the collaboration?

4. Partner organization meetings accomplish what is necessary for the

collaboration to function well?

5. Parton organizations (including your organization) agree about the goals of the collaboration?

6. Your organization's tasks in the collaboration are well coordinated with those of partner organizations?

 The collaboration binders your organization from macking its own organizational relation?
 Your organization's independence is affected by having to work with partner

 Total experimentation in imagination or in amount of primaring to vote, with partner experimentation, an amountee indicate on the collaboration?
 Total on a representative of your expenditation, field publisher were trying to meet both your organizations and the collaboration's experimental.
 Total experimental field in twentimelitie to very and work with partner

meet born your organizations and the collaborations expectations?

10. Your organization fields it wonlowhile to say and work with partner organizations rather than leave the collaboration?

11. Partner organizations (including your organization) have contributed and used

each other's resources so all partners beneaft from collaborating?

12. Your organization shares information with partner organizations that will attemption their operations and programs?

13. You field what wour consumming british to the coll absoration is appreciated.

and respected by platter organizations?

14. Your organization achieves its own goals better working with partner organizations than working about?

15. Dates required to the continuous properties of the partner of the continuous partners and the continuous properties.

15. Patter organization (including your organization) work through differences to entire at wise win solutions?

Norms (That & 16. The people who represent partner organizations in the collaboration as transversity.

 My organization can count on each partner organization to meet its obligations to the collaboration.

The collaborative agreement is effective overall.

Analysis

Of the 16 participants, there were nine (9) academic partners and seven (7) practice partners across all four teams. Mean scores were analyzed among academic and practice partners for all five dimensions as well as overall perceived effectiveness of the collaboration.

Results

Table 2. Average means for Academic and Practice Partners on Five Dimensions of Collaboration

	Partners	М	SD
Governmen	Academic	11.44	1.94
	Practice	11.28	2.13
Administration	Academic	24.11	2.42
	Practice	23.42	4.68
Autonomy	Academic	6.00	2.59
	Practice	6.28	3.94
Mutuality	Academic	28.33	3.57
	Practice	28.57	1.90
Norma	Academic	18.66	1.58
	Practice	18.00	.31

In addition to the five dimensions, analysis involving the perception of the effectiveness of the collaboration for the NJAC work indicated that academic partners reported slightly higher perceived effectiveness (M=6.22, SD=.83) than reported by practice partners (M=5.71, SD=1.25).

Academic and Practice Partners



Discussion

The review of the data collected in this study helps to better understand the key features necessary to promote collaboration that involves both academic and practice partners.

Several key elements revealed in this study support continued collaboration among academic and practice partners

Similar scores identified on four of the five dimensions suggest that there is a shared understanding of collaboration practices necessary to meet an organization's goals and outcomes.

When analyzing the dimension of Administration, academic participants scored slightly higher, with a mean of 24.11 (SD = 2.42) than the practice participants, mean of 23.42 (SD = 4.69).

Additional key elements include:

•For the collaboration to be effective, all individuals must contribute to the discussion and opinions needed to be taken seriously.

•On the dimension of administration, results depicted on this pilot tool that academic partners identified more with the need to understand roles and responsibilities, felt that meetings functioned well, there were agreeable goals and well-coordinated tasks experienced in the collaboration.

Summary

The utilization of this tool does help to provide a framework for understanding essential elements to consider when building collaborative partnerships between academic and practice partners.

References

American Association of Colleges of Nursing. (2014). Retrieved from http://www.aacn.nche.edu/aacn-publications/position/joint-statementacademic-progression

Institute of Medicine. (2011). The Future of Nursing: Leading change, advancing health. Washington, DC: National Academies Press Ljungholm, D.P. (2014). The process of collaborative governing. Geopolitics, History, and International Relations, 6(2): 105-110. Thomson, A. & Perry, J. (2006). Collaboration Processes: Inside the Black Box. Public Administration Review, 20. doi:10.1111/j. 1540-6210.2006.00663.x.

Thomson, A., Perry, J. & Miller, T. (2007). Conceptualizing and measuring collaboration. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory, 19, 23-56. doi:10.1093/jopart/mum036

The work by the New Jersey Action Coalition (NJAC) was supported by a State Implementation Program grant funded through a joint initiative of AARP and Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, the Campaign for Action.

www.PosterPresentations.c