Preparing Nurse Practitioner Students to Deliver Bad News



Rhonda Coffman DNP, APRN-NP; Lindsay Iverson DNP, APRN, Meghan Potthoff PhD, APRN-NP, Susan Connelly DNP, APRN-NP Creighton University School of Nursing, Omaha Nebraska

Introduction

Creighton

- Delivering bad news to a patient, family member, or loved one can be one of the most difficult and uncomfortable situations a provider encounters.
- Most graduate nursing programs provide limited education regarding the practice of delivering bad news to patients, family members, or loved ones in crisis situations.
- Literature supports that healthcare students feel uncomfortable and unprepared to deliver bad news.

Purpose

To enhance acute care nurse practitioner students comfort in delivering difficult news to patients and their families.

Methods

- 7 Pediatric & 11 Adult Acute Care Nurse Practitioner Students completed the simulation
- A total of 7 sets of paired data returned and analyzed
- Preparation included a short video and PowerPoint on how to approach difficult conversations
- Simulation activity utilized Standardized Patients (SP)
- Students completed 2 scenario followed by a large group debrief
- Students/SP encounters were recorded for students to view and self-evaluate after completion of the scenarios.
- Computer based 12 question survey for students to self report confidence level
- Completed survey pre simulation and post simulation at 1 week and 2 months

l confident in my ability to	Pre	Post (1 wk)	Post (2 mo)
ak bad news in general	3.43	4.14*	4.29**
ite a supportive environment	3.71	4.29*	4.57**
uce or eliminate signs that I am nervous or anxious	3.71	3.86	4.57**
language that is nontechnical and easily understood	3.86	4.43	4.29
ist the rate and amount of information I provide	3.14	4.14*	3.86**
n to patient and/or family concerns	4.57	4.86	4.71
pathize with a patient and/or family	4.29	4.57	4.29
id portraying more hope or optimism that I believe exists	3.00	3.86 *	3.86**
marize information in a way that is easy to understand	4.00	4.43	4.29
cipate possible responses by patients and/or families	2.71	3.57*	4.00**
l with difficult emotions from patients and/or families	3.71	4.14	4.00
e the conversation in an appropriate way	3.29	4.14*	4.57**

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Neutral 4=Agree 5=Strongly Agree

* Indicates statistically significant from T1 to T2 with a p value < 0.05

** Indicates statistically significant change from T1 to T3 with a p < 0.05 $\,$

Scenarios

l feel

brea creat

redu

use

adjus

lister

emp

avoid

sum

deal

- Terminal Diagnosis
- Brain Death/End of Life
- Medical Error
- Trauma

Results

- Students reported increased confidence from baseline to 1 week post simulation in all 12 questions with a statistically significant improvement in confidence in 6 of the questions.
- Students maintained a statistically significant increase in confidence from baseline to 2 months post simulation in all 6 of the questions that had significant change at 1 week.
- There was a decrease in confidence between 1 week post simulation and 2 months in 5 questions but none were lower then the baseline confidence level

Conclusions

- Students are uncomfortable engaging in conversations that are perceived as difficult.
- It is imperative that the curriculum for health profession students provide practice and training regarding how to engage in these conversations.
- The simulation setting provides a safe environment for students to practice the skill of delivering difficult news and increase their confidence.
- The use of standardized patients allows for authentic interaction and real time feedback.

Limitations

- Small sample size limits generalizability
- On-line survey created low response rates
- On-line survey method reduced participant oversight and contributed to inconsistent paired data sets

Acknowledgements

- Creighton Office of Academic Excellence and Assessment
 Creighton Clinical Education & Simulation Contor
 - Creighton Clinical Education & Simulation Center
 - Standardized patients